United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/812,107	03/30/2004	James Earl Barnett		2880	
James Earl Bar	7590 03/07/2007	EXAMINER			
106 W. South Street Pinckneyville, IL 62274		•	LANGDON, EVAN H		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3654		
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE -		
21 DAVS		03/07/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

			Application No.	-	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary			10/812,107		BARNETT, JAMES EARL			
		ר	Examiner		Art Unit			
		1	Evan H. Langdon		3654			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communi or Reply	ication appea	ars on the cover shee	t with the co	orrespondence ad	ldress		
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MINIORS of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common period for reply is specified above, the maximum stare to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months are dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DAT of 37 CFR 1.136(junication. atutory period will will, by statute, ca	E OF THIS COMMU a). In no event, however, ma apply and will expire SIX (6) I use the application to become	JNICATION ay a reply be time MONTHS from the ABANDONED	l, ely filed he mailing date of this c) (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on .						
2a)□			ction is non-final.	•				
3)	-							
	closed in accordance with the practic	ce under Ex	parte Quayle, 1935 (C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the a	pplication.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)	Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> are subject to restriction	on and/or ele	ection requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Exar	niner. Note the attac	hed Office	Action or form P1	ΓO-152.		
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		·					
	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* \$	See the attached detailed Office action	n for a list of	the certified copies	not receive	d.			
Attachmen	• •							
1) Notic 2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P	TO-948)		ew Summary (No(s)/Mail Da				
3) 🔲 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	,	5) 🔲 Notice	of Informal Pa	atent Application			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Uther:								

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-15, drawn to an apparatus for vertically transitioning a load, classified in class 254, subclass 334.
- II. Claims 1-6-18, drawn to a method of vertically transitioning a load, classified in class 254, subclass 334.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II and I are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the process as claims can be practiced by a materially different apparatus such as an apparatus such as an overhead mounted winch or by hand.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

Invention I: **Species A** – Figures 1 and 31 and **Species B**: Figures 25 and 33. The species are independent or distinct because the inventions as claimed are not capable of use together and can have a materially different design, mode of operation and function. In the instant case, the inventions as claimed result in a vertically mounted winch and horizontally mounted winch. The horizontally mounted winch has a materially different design and a different mode of operation then the vertically mounted winch.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

During a telephone conversation with James Barnett on 02 March 2007 a provisional election was made to without traverse to prosecute the invention of I, claims 1-15. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 16-18 are

withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention. However, an oral election to the above election of species requirement did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Evan H. Langdon whose telephone number is (571)272-6948. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kathy Matecki can be reached on (571) 272-6951. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/812,107 Page 5

Art Unit: 3654

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Evan Langdon

Patent Examiner